

To Be Published In An Extraordinary Issue of The Gazette of India, Part II Section 3(iii) Dated 8th August, 2005.

To be published In An Extraordinary Issue of the State Gazette Dated 8th August, 2005.

F.No.282/RJ/2005

Dated: 8th August, 2005
17 Sravana, 1927(Saka)

ORDER NO. 12

In pursuance of Section 8 read with Section 4 of the Delimitation Act, 2002 (33 of 2002), and having regard to all other relevant Constitutional and statutory provisions in this regard, the Delimitation Commission hereby determines –

- a) the total number of seats to be allocated to the State of Rajasthan in the House of the People as Twenty five(25);
- b) of the total number of Twenty five (25); seats so allocated to the State of Rajasthan in the House of the People, the number of seats to be reserved for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes as Four (4) and Three (3) respectively;
- c) the total number of seats to be assigned to the Legislative Assembly of the State of Rajasthan, as two hundred (200);
- d) of the total number of two hundred (200) seats so assigned to the Legislative Assembly of the State of Rajasthan, the number of seats to be reserved for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes as Thirty four (34) and Twenty five (25) respectively.

By Order,

(SHANGARA RAM)
SECRETARY

To Be Published In An Extraordinary Issue of The Gazette of India, Part II Section 3(iii) Dated 8th August, ^h 2005.

To be published In An Extraordinary Issue of the Rajasthan State Gazette Dated 8th August, 2005.

F.No. 282/RJ/2005

Dated: 8th August, 2005
17 Sravana, 1927(Saka)

NOTIFICATION

No. 282/ RJ/2005 - In pursuance of sub-section (2) of Section 9 of the Delimitation Act, 2002 (33 of 2002), the Delimitation Commission hereby publishes its proposals for the delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies in the State of Rajasthan, together with the dissenting proposals of the Associate Members, and specifies 23rd August, 2005(Tuesday) as the date on or after which the proposals will be further considered by it.

2. Any objections or suggestions in regard to these proposals should reach the **Secretary, Delimitation Commission, Nirvachan Sadan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001**, on or *before* the said date.

PROPOSALS

- (i) The territorial constituencies into which the State of Rajasthan shall be divided for the purpose of elections to (a) the Legislative Assembly of the State, and (b) the House of the People, the extent of each such constituency and the constituencies in which the seats are reserved for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes shall be as shown in the **Table-A and Table-B** respectively;
- (ii) Where the name of a constituency as shown in Table A or Table B is distinguished by the brackets and letters **(SC)**, the seat in that constituency is reserved for the Scheduled Castes; and
- (iii) Where the name of a constituency as shown in Table-A or Table-B is distinguished by the brackets and letters **(ST)**, the seat in that constituency is reserved for the Scheduled Tribes.

(Here print the matter attached)

By Order,

(SHANGARA RAM)
SECRETARY

TABLE - A ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCIES AND THEIR PROPOSED EXTENT

S. No. & Name of Assembly	Extent
--------------------------------------	---------------

Constituency	
<u>1-DISTRICT GANGANAGAR</u>	
1 – Sadulsahar	1. Tehsil Sadulsahar 2. Tehsil Ganganagar (Partly) (I) ILRC Mirzewala (II) ILRC Hindumalkot (III) ILRC Shivpur (IV) ILRC Ram Nagar (V) ILRC Chunawarh (VI) ILRC Ganganagar (Partly)- (i) PC Chak Maharaj Ka
2- Ganganagar	Teshil Ganganagar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Ganganagar including Municipal Council Gangangar but excluding PC Chak Maharaj Ka (II) ILRC Burjwali (III) ILRC Netewala
3 - Karanpur	1. Tehsil Karanpur 2. Tehsil Padampur
4 - Suratgarh	1. Tehsil Suratgarh 2. Tehsil Vijaynagar (Partly) – ILRC Jaitsar
5 - Raisinghnagar (SC)	1. Tehsil Raisinghnagar 2. Tehsil Vijaynagar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sri Vijaynagar including Vijaynagar Municipal Board (II) ILRC Kunplee (III) ILRC 40 GB (IV) ILRC 8STB, (V) ILRC 12GB 3. Tehsil Anupgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Salempura (II) ILRC Banda Colony
6 - Anupgarh (SC)	1. Tehsil Gharsana 2. Tehsil Anupgarh excluding ILRC Salempura & ILRC Banda Colony
<u>2-DISTRICT HANUMANGARH</u>	
7 - Sangaria	1. Tehsil Sangaria 2. Tehsil Tibi
8 – Hanumangarh	1. Tehsil Hanumangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Hanumangarh including Municipal Board Hanumangarh (II) ILRC Roranwali (III) ILRC Pucca Sarnan (IV) ILRC Fatehgarh (V) ILRC Lakhuwali (VI) ILRC Norangdesar
9 – Pilibanga (SC)	1. Tehsil Pilibanga 2. Tehsil Rawatsar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Rawatsar including Municipal Board Rawatsar (II) ILRC Khoda (III) ILRC Gandheli 3. Tehsil Hanumagnarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dablrathan
10 – Nohar	1. Tehsil Nohar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nohar including Municipal Board Nohar (II) ILRC Deidas (III) ILRC Gorkhana (IV) ILRC Phephana (V) ILRC Meghana (VI) ILRC Jabrasar, 2. Tehsil Rawatsar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Baramsar (II) ILRC Pallu
11 - Bhadra	1. Tehsil Bhadra 2. Tehsil Nohar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Ramgarh
<u>3-DISTRICT BIKANER</u>	
12 – Khajuwala (SC)	1. Tehsil Khajuwala 2. Teshil Poogal, 3. Tehsil Chhatargarh, 4. Tehsil Bikaner (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bikaner (Partly) – (i) PC Chak Garbi (Rural) (ii) PC Nalbari (iii) PC Udasar
13 – Bikaner West	1. Tehsil Bikaner (Partly) - (I) Municipal Council Bikaner (Partly) – Ward No. 1 to 4, 14 to 19, 21, 29 to 35, 44 to 49 and 53 to 55 (II) ILRC Bikaner (Partly) – (i) PC Karmisar
14 – Bikaner East	1. Tehsil Bikaner (Partly) - (I) Municipal Council Bikaner (Partly) - Ward No. 5 to 13, 20, 22 to 28, 36 to 43 and 50 to 52 (II) ILRC Bikaner (Partly) – (i) PC Bikaner (Rural) (ii) PC Gangasahar (Rural)
15 – Kolayat	1. Tehsil Kolayat, 2. Tehsil Bikaner (Partly) – (I) ILRC Deshnoke including Municipal Board Deshnoke
16 – Lunkaransar	1. Tehsil Lunkaransar, 2. Tehsil Bikaner (Partly) – (I) ILRC

	Jamsar (II) ILRC Napasar (III) ILRC Bikaner (Partly) – (i) PC Ridmalsar Purohitan
17 – Dungargarh	1. Tehsil Dungargarh 2. Tehsil Nokha (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kuchor Aathooni
18 – Nokha	1. Tehsil Nokha excluding ILRC Kuchor Aathooni
<u>4-DISTRICT CHURU</u>	
19 – Sadulpur	1. Tehsil Rajgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Rajgarh including Municipal Board Rajgarh (II) ILRC Hamirawas Bara (III) ILRC-Ragha Chhoti (IV) ILRC-Sankhoo (V) ILRC-Chainpura Chhota (VI) ILRC-Sidhmukh
20 – Taranagar	1. Tehsil Taranagar 2. Tehsil Rajgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dadarewa (II) ILRC-Dokwa, 3. Tehsil Sardarshahar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Ratoosar
21 – Sardarshahar	1. Tehsil Sardarshahar excluding ILRC Ratoosar 2. Tehsil Ratangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Golsar
22 – Churu	1. Tehsil Churu
23 – Ratangarh	1. Tehsil Ratangarh excluding ILRC Golsar 2. Tehsil Sujangarh (Partly) - (I) ILRC Chhapar including Municipal Board Chhapar (II) ILRC Khuri
24 – Sujangarh (SC)	1. Tehsil Sujangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sujangarh including Municipal Board Sujangarh, (II) ILRC Jeeli (III) ILRC Salasar (IV) ILRC Bidasar including Municipal Board Bidasar (V) ILRC Lalgah (VI) ILRC Tendesar
<u>5-DISTRICT JHUNJHUNU</u>	
25 – Pilani (SC)	1. Tehsil Chirawa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Pilani including Municipal Board Pilani and Municipal Board Vidhya Vihar (II) ILRC Chirawa including Municipal Board Chirawa (III) ILRC Mandrela (IV) ILRC Sultana (Partly) – (i) PC Ardawata (V) ILRC Surajgarh (Partly) – (i) PC Adooka (ii) PC Farat (iii) PC Sehi Kalan
26 – Surajgarh	1. Tehsil Buhana 2. Tehsil Chirawa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Surajgarh (Partly) – (i) Surajgarh Municipal Board (ii) PC Baloda (iii) PC Berla (iv) PC Bhaothari (v) PC Dheengariya (vi) PC Jakhod (vii) PC Kakora (viii) PC Kidwana (ix) PC Lakhoo (x) PC Lotiya (xi) PC Mahpalwas
27 – Jhunjhunu	1. Tehsil Jhunjhunu (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jhunjhunu including Municipal Board Jhunjhunu and Municipal Board Bagar (II) ILRC Kulod Kalan 2. Tehsil Chirawa (Partly) – ILRC Sultana (Partly) – (i) PC Chanana (ii) PC Solana (iii) PC Kishorepura (iv) PC Kithana (v) PC Sultana (vi) PC Sari
28 – Mandawa	1. Tehsil Jhunjhunu (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mandawa including Municipal Board Mandawa (II) ILRC Bisau including Municipal Board Bisau (III) ILRC Malsisar (IV) ILRC Rampura
29 – Nawalgarh	1. Tehsil Nawalgarh
30 – Gudha	1. Tehsil Udaipurwati
31 – Khetri	1. Tehsil Khetri
<u>6-DISTRICT SIKAR</u>	
32 – Fatehpur	1. Tehsil Fatehpur

33 – Lachhmangarh	1. Teshil Lachhmangarh
34 – Dhod (SC)	1. Tehsil Sikar (Partly) – (I) ILRC-Dhod (II) ILRC Sihot Bari (III) ILRC Sihot Chhoti (IV) ILRC Doojod (V) ILRC Dadiya (Partly) (i) PC Gothra Bhookran (ii) PC Kudan (iii) PC Raseedpura 2. Tehsil Dantaramgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Losal (Partly) – (i) Municipal Board Losal (ii) PC Bhagatpura (iii) PC Bheema (iv) PC Jana (v) PC Sangalia (vi) PC Khood
35 – Sikar	1. Tehsil Sikar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sikar including Municipal Council Sikar (II) ILRC Piprali (II) ILRC Dadiya (Partly) – (i) PC Dadiya (ii) PC Kotra Beri (iii) Tarpura (iv) PC Koleera
36 – Danta Ramgarh	Tehsil Dantaramgarh excluding Municipal Board Losal, PC Bhagatpura, PC Bheema, PC Jana, PC Sangalia and PC Khood of ILRC Losal
37 – Khandela	Tehsil Srimadhapur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khandela including Municipal Board Khandela (II) ILRC Jajod (III) ILRC Kanwat (IV) ILRC Reengus including Municipal Board Reengus (V) ILRC Srimadhapur (Partly) – (i) PC Bassi (ii) PC Nimera (iii) PC Ralawata
38 – Neema Ka Thana	Tehsil Neema Ka Thana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Neem Ka Thana including Municipal Board Neem Ka Thana (II) ILRC Patan (III) ILRC Chala (IV) ILRC Dabla
39 – Srimadhapur	1. Tehsil Srimadhapur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mau (II) ILRC Ajitgarh (III) ILRC Srimadhapur (Partly) – (i) Municipal Board Srimadhapur (ii) PC Srimadhapur Rural (iii) PC Hanspur (iv) PC Kotri Shimarla (v) PC Jorawar Nagar 2. Tehsil Neem Ka Thana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sanwalpura Tanwaran
7-DISTRICT JAIPUR	
40 – Kotputli	Tehsil Kotputli (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kotputli including Municipal Board Kotputli (II) ILRC Narheda (III) ILRC Paniyala (IV) ILRC Dantil
41 – Viratnagar	1. Tehsil Viratnagar 2. Tehsil Kotputli (Partly) – (I) ILRC Paota, 3. Tehsil Shahpura (Partly) – (I) ILRC Shahpura (Partly) – (i) PC Chhapra Khurd (ii) PC Nathawala (iii) PC Rampura (iv) PC Saiwar
42 – Shahpura	1. Tehsil Shahpura excluding PC Chhapra Khurd, PC Nathawala, PC Rampura & PC Saiwar of ILRC Shahpura 2. Tehsil Chomu (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khejroli (Partly) – (i) PC Amarpura (ii) PC Khejroli (iii) PC Nangal Koju (iv) PC Niwana (v) PC Tigariya (vi) PC Nangal Bharda
43 – Chomu	1. Tehsil Chomu (Partly) – (I) ILRC Chomu including Municipal Board Chomu (II) ILRC Govindgarh (III) ILRC Kaladera (IV) ILRC Khejroli (Partly) – (i) PC Singod Kalan (ii) PC Singod Khurd (iii) PC Itawa Bhopji (iv) PC Udaipuriya
44 – Phulera	1. Tehsil Phulera (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sambhar including Municipal Board Sambhar Lake and Municipal Board Phulera (II) ILRC Renwal including Municipal Board Kishangarh Renwal (III) ILRC Bhainslana (IV) ILRC Narayana (V) ILRC Karansar (Partly) – (i) PC Doongari Khurd (ii) PC Harsoli (iii) PC Kherimilak (iv) PC Ramjipura Kalan

45 – Dudu (SC)	1. Tehsil Dudu 2. Tehsil Phagi (Partly) – (I) ILRC Phagi (II) ILRC Chouru (III) ILRC Mandor (IV) ILRC Nimera
46 – Jhotwara	1. Municipal Corporation Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 2 and 3, 2. Rural area of tehsil Jaipur excluding PC Jamdoli and PC Sumel of ILRC Jaipur East, 3. Tehsil Phulera (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jobner including Municipal Board Jobner (II) ILRC Karnasar (Partly) – (i) PC Karnasar (ii) PC Bhainsawa (iii) PC Doongari Kalan (iv) PC Hingoniya (v) PC Jorpura Sundariyawas
47 – Amber	1. Tehsil Amber including Ward No. 53 of Municipal Corporation, Jaipur
48 – Jamwa Ramgarh (ST)	1. Tehsil Jamwaramgarh
49 – Hawa Mahal	Municipal Corporation, Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 51, 52, 54, 55, 58, 59 and 63 to 65
50 – Vidhyadhar Nagar	Municipal Corporation, Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 1, 8 to 10 and 68 to 70
51 – Civil Lines	Municipal Corporation, Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 4 to 7, 11, 17, 36, 37, 66 and 67
52 – Kishan Pole	Municipal Corporation, Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 38 to 43, 45, 50, 56, 57 and 60 to 62
53 – Adarsh Nagar	Municipal Corporation Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 29, 30, 32 to 34, 44 and 46 to 49
54 – Malviya Nagar	Municipal Corporation, Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 15, 16, 18 to 21, 25 to 27, 31 and 35
55 – Sanganer	Municipal Corporation Jaipur (Partly) – Ward No. 12 to 14, 22 and 23
56 – Bagru (SC)	1. Tehsil Sanganer excluding urban area but including Municipal Board Bagru 2. Municipal Corporation, Jaipur – (Partly) Ward No. 24 and 28
57 – Bassi (ST)	1. Tehsil Bassi, 2. Tehsil Jaipur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jaipur East (Partly) – (i) PC Sumel (ii) PC Jamdoli, 2. Tehsil Chaksu (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kotkhawada (Partly) – (i) PC Mahadeopura (ii) PC Rupaherikalan
58 – Chaksu (SC)	1. Tehsil Chaksu excluding PC Mahadeopura and PC Rupaherikalan of ILRC Kotkhawada, 2. Tehsil Phagi (Partly) – (I) ILRC Chittora (II) ILRC Madhorajpura (III) ILRC Renwal
8-DISTRICT ALWAR	
59 – Tijara	1. Tehsil Tijara
60 – Khairthal	1. Tehsil Kishangarh Bas 2. Tehsil Kotkasim
61 – Mundawar	1. Tehsil Mundawar, 2. Tehsil Behror (Partly) – (I) ILRC Neemrana
62 – Behror	1. Tehsil Behror (Partly) – (I) ILRC Behror including Municipal Board Behror (II) ILRC Bardod (III) ILRC Mandhan (IV) ILRC Gandala
63 – Bansur	1. Tehsil Bansur, 2. Tehsil Thanagazi (Partly) – (I) ILRC Narainpur
64 – Thanagazi	1. Tehsil Thanagazi excluding ILRC Narainpur 2. Tehsil

	Rajgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Rajpur Bara (II) ILRC Tahla (III) ILRC Khoh (IV) ILRC Rajgarh (Partly) – (i) PC Dubbi (ii) PC Karoth (iii) PC Nayagaon Bolka (iv) PC Dhamred (v) PC Thanarajaji
65 – Alwar Rural (SC)	Tehsil Alwar excluding Municipal Council Alwar, PC Alwar (Rural), PC Bhoogor and PC Diwakari of ILRC Alwar
66 – Alwar Urban	1. Tehsil Alwar (Partly) - (I) ILRC Alwar (Partly) - (i) Municipal Council Alwar (ii) PC Alwar (Rural) (iii) PC Bhoogor (iv) PC Diwakari.
67 – Ramgarh	1. Tehsil Ramgarh, 2. Tehsil Laxmangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Govindgarh (II) ILRC Baroda Meo (III) ILRC Moliya (Partly) – (i) PC Dongari (ii) PC Semla Khurd (iii) PC Bhainsrawat (iv) PC Phahri
68 – Rajgarh (ST)	1. Tehsil Rajgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Machari (II) ILRC Pinan (III) ILRC Reni (IV) ILRC Dhigawara (V) ILRC Rajgarh (Partly) – (i) Municipal Board Rajgarh (ii) PC Rajgarh-A (iii) PC Rajgarh (B) (iv) PC Alei 2. Tehsil Laxmangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Laxmangarh (II) ILRC Bichgaon (III) ILRC Mojpur
69 – Kathumar (SC)	1. Tehsil Kathumar 2. Tehsil Laxmangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khudiyana (II) ILRC Moliya (Partly) – (i) PC Moliya (ii) PC Badka (iii) PC Itera
9-DISTRICT BHARATPUR	
70 – Kaman	1. Tehsil Kaman 2. Tehsil Pahari
71 – Nagar	1. Tehsil Nagar 2. Tehsil Deeg (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khoh (II) ILRC Pasta (III) ILRC Bedham (IV) ILRC Januthar
72 – Deeg-Kumher	1. Tehsil Kumher 2. Tehsil Deeg (Partly) – (I) ILRC Deeg including Municipal Board Deeg (II) ILRC Kasot (III) ILRC Baroli Choth (IV) ILRC Sinsini
73 – Bharatpur	Tehsil Bharatpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bharatpur including Municipal Council Bharatpur (II) ILRC Peepla (III) ILRC Chiksana (IV) ILRC Jaghina
74 – Nadbai	1. Tehsil Nadbai 2. Tehsil Bharatpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sewar (II) ILRC Aghapur 3. Tehsil Rupbas (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khanuwa (II) ILRC Uchain
75 – Weir (SC)	1. Tehsil Weir 2. Tehsil Bayana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Farso (II) ILRC Khareri
76 – Bayana (SC)	1. Tehsil Bayana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bayana including Municipal Board Bayana (II) ILRC Samogar (III) ILRC Mahmadpura (IV) ILRC Milakpur 2. Tehsil Rupbas (Partly) – (I) ILRC Rupbas (II) ILRC Khansurjapur (III) ILRC Rudawal
10-DISTRICT DHOLPUR	
77 – Baseri (SC)	1. Tehsil Baseri, 2. Tehsil Bari (Partly) – (I) ILRC Umreh
78 – Bari	1. Tehsil Bari (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kasbabari including Municipal Board Bari (II) ILRC Basai Dang (III) ILRC Talab Shahi (IV) ILRC Kanchanpur, 2. Tehsil Saipau (Partly) – (I) ILRC Saipau (II) ILRC Donari (III) ILRC Basai Nawab
79 – Dholpur	1. Tehsil Dholpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dholpur including Municipal Board Dholpur (II) ILRC Jatoli (III) ILRC Pachgaon,

	2. Tehsil Saipau (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kareempur (II) ILRC Kolari
80 – Rajakhera	1. Tehsil Rajakhera 2. Tehsil Dholpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Marhabhau (II) ILRC Maniyan
<u>11-DISTRICT KARALI</u>	
81 – Todabhim (ST)	1. Tehsil Nadoti, 2. Tehsil Todabhim (Partly) – (I) ILRC Todabhim including Municipal Board Todabhim (II) ILRC Kamalpura (III) ILRC Kariri (IV) ILRC Padampura (V) ILRC Nangal Sherpur (VI) ILRC Balghat
82 – Hindaun (SC)	1. Tehsil Hindaun (Partly) – (I) ILRC Hindaun including Municipal Board Hindaun (II) ILRC Shri Mahaveerji (III) ILRC Bargawan (IV) ILRC Mahoo Ibrahimpur (V) ILRC Suroth 2. Tehsil Todabhim (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mahamadpur
83 – Karauli	1. Tehsil Karauli (Partly) – (I) ILRC Karauli including Municipal Board Karauli (II) ILRC Chainpur (III) ILRC Gunesra (IV) ILRC Kheriya (V) ILRC Masalpur 2. Tehsil Hindaun (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khera (II) ILRC Sherpur
84 – Sapotra (ST)	1. Tehsil Sapotra, 2. Tehsil Mandrail 3. Tehsil Karauli (Partly) – (I) ILRC Maholi (II) ILRC Kailadevi
<u>12-DISTRICT DAUSA</u>	
85 – Bandikui	1. Tehsil Baswa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bandikui including Municipal Board Bandikui (II) ILRC Baswa (III) ILRC Badiyal Kalan (IV) ILRC Gurha Katla (V) ILRC Gurhaliya (VI) ILRC Biwai
86 – Mahuwa	1. Tehsil Mahuwa, 2. Tehsil Baswa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Baijupara
87 – Sikrai (SC)	1. Tehsil Sikrai 2. Tehsil Dausa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Alooda (II) ILRC Bhandarej
88 – Dausa	1. Tehsil Dausa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dausa including Municipal Board Dausa (II) ILRC Jasota (III) ILRC Kundal (IV) ILRC Lawan (V) ILRC Sainthal (VI) ILRC Baniyana (VII) ILRC Nangal Rajawatan
89 – Lalsot (ST)	1. Tehsil Lalsot
<u>13-DISTRICT SAWAI MADHOPUR</u>	
90 – Gangapur	1. Tehsil Gangapur
91 – Bamanwas (ST)	1. Tehsil Bamanwas, 2. Tehsil Bonli
92 – Sawai Madhopur	1. Tehsil Malarna Dungar 2. Tehsil Sawai Madhopur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sawai Madhopur including Municipal Board Sawai Madhopur (II) ILRC Seloo (III) ILRC Soorwal (IV) ILRC Kundera
93 – Khandar (SC)	1. Tehsil Khandar 2. Tehsil Choth Ka Barwara 3. Tehsil Sawai Madhopur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kustala (II) ILRC Phalaudi (III) ILRC Rawajana Chaur
<u>14-DISTRICT TONK</u>	
94 – Malpura	1. Tehsil Malpura 2. Tehsil Todaraisingh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Todaraisingh including Municipal Board Todaraisingh (II) ILRC Datob 3. Tehsil Deoli (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nasirda
95 – Niwai (SC)	1. Tehsil Niwai 2. Tehsil Peeplu

96 – Tonk	1. Tehsil Tonk 2. Tehsil Todaraisingh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mor (II) ILRC Khareda (III) ILRC Lamba Kalan
97 – Deoli	1. Tehsil Uniara 2. Tehsil Deoli excluding ILRC Nasirda
15-DISTRICT AJMER	
98 – Kishangarh	1. Tehsil Kishangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kishangarh including Municipal Board Kishangarh (II) ILRC Harmara (III) ILRC Sironj (IV) ILRC Akodiya (V) ILRC Arain (VI) ILRC Bandar Seendri (VII) ILRC Lamba (VIII) ILRC Barana (IX) ILRC Ralawata 2. Tehsil Sarwar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dabrela (II) ILRC Borada
99 – Pushkar	1. Tehsil Ajmer excluding area of Municipal Council Ajmer and ILRC Ajmer – III 2. Tehsil Kishangarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Karkeri (II) ILRC Kotri (III) ILRC Roopangarh
100 – Ajmer North	1. Tehsil Ajmer (Partly) - (I) Ajmer Municipal Council (Partly) – Ward No. 1 to 5, 16, 17, 19 to 32 and 49 to 55 including Cantonment Board Ajmer (II) ILRC Ajmer – III
101 – Ajmer South (SC)	1. Tehsil Ajmer (Partly) (I) Ajmer Municipal Council (Partly) – Ward No. 6 to 15, 18 and 33 to 48
102 – Nasirabad	1. Tehsil Nasirabad 2. Tehsil Pisangan
103 – Beawar	1. Tehsil Beawar
104 – Masuda	1. Tehsil Masuda 2. Tehsil Bhinay
105 – Kekri	1. Tehsil Kekri 2. Tehsil Sarwar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sarwar including Municipal Board Sarwar (II) ILRC Ajgara (III) ILRC Hingoniya (IV) ILRC Sapala (V) ILRC Fatehgarh (VI) ILRC Goyla (VII) ILRC Tantoti
16-DISTRICT NAGOUR	
106 – Ladnun	1. Tehsil Ladnun 2. Tehsil Deedwana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Noowa
107 – Deedwana	1. Tehsil Deedwana (Partly) – (I) ILRC Deedwana including Municipal Board Deedwana (II) ILRC KhunKhuna (III) ILRC Molasar (IV) ILRC Khatu Khurd
108 – Jayal (SC)	1. Tehsil Jayal 2. Tehsil Nagaur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jodhiyasi
109 – Nagaur	1. Tehsil Nagaur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nagaur including Municipal Board Nagaur (II) ILRC Alay (III) ILRC Mundwa including Municipal Board Mundwa (IV) ILRC Kumari
110 – Khinwsar	1. Tehsil Khinwsar 2. Tehsil Nagaur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kharnal (II) ILRC Sankhwas (III) ILRC Khajwana including Municipal Board Kuchera 3. Tehsil Merta (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nokha Chandawatan
111 – Merta (SC)	1. Tehsil Merta (Partly) – (I) ILRC Merta including Municipal Board Merta (II) ILRC Riyan Bari (III) ILRC Padukalan (IV) ILRC Gotan (V) ILRC Jasnagar (VI) ILRC Gagrana (VII) ILRC Merta Road (Phalodi)
112 – Degana	1. Tehsil Degana
113 – Makrana	2. Tehsil Makrana
114 – Parbatsar	1. Tehsil Parbatsar 2. Tehsil Nawan (Partly) – (I) ILRC Meethari (II) ILRC Kuchaman City (Partly) – (i) PC Anandpura (ii) PC Rasal (iii) PC Rooppura

115 – Nawan	1. Tehsil Nawan (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nawan including Municipal Board Nawan (II) ILRC Chitawa (III) ILRC Loonwa (IV) ILRC Maroth (V) ILRC Kuchaman City (Partly) – (i) Municipal Board Kuchaman City (ii) PC Bhanwata (iii) PC Heerani (iv) PC Jiliya (v) PC Panchawa (vi) PC Parewadi (vii) PC Sabalpura
<u>17-DISTRICT PALI</u>	
116 – Jaitaran	1. Tehsil Jaitaran 2. Tehsil Raipur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kot Kirana (II) ILRC Babra (III) ILRC Sendra
117 - Sojat (SC)	1. Tehsil Sojat 2. Tehsil Raipur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Raipur (II) ILRC Pipliya Kalan
118 – Pali	1. Tehsil Pali (Partly) – (I) Municipal Council Pali 2. Tehsil Rohat
119 – Marwar Junction	1. Tehsil Marwar Junction 2. Tehsil Desuri (Partly) – (I) ILRC Khiwara (II) ILRC Magar Talav (III) ILRC Rani including Municipal Board Rani Khurd
120 – Bali	1. Tehsil Bali 2. Tehsil Desuri (Partly) – (I) ILRC Desuri including Municipal Board Sadri (II) ILRC Nadol
121 – Sumerpur	1. Tehsil Sumerpur 2. Tehsil Pali excluding area of Municipal Council Pali
<u>18-DISTRICT JODHPUR</u>	
122 – Phalodi	1. Tehsil Phalodi (Partly) – (I) ILRC Phalodi including Municipal Board Phalodi (II) ILRC Bap (III) ILRC Aau (Partly) – (i) PC Bheenyasar (ii) PC Bhojasar (iii) PC Boongdi (iv) PC Champasar (v) PC Ghantiyali (vi) PC Jaisala (vii) PC Pariyal (viii) PC Kelansar
123 – Lohawat	1. Tehsil Phalodi (Partly) – (I) ILRC Lohawat (II) ILRC Aau (Partly) – (i) PC Aau (ii) PC Chadi (iii) PC Ishru (iv) PC Ridmalsar 2. Tehsil Osian (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bapini 3. Tehsil Shergarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Setrawa
124 – Shergarh	1. Tehsil Shergarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Shergarh (II) ILRC Solankiya Tala (III) ILRC Balesar (IV) ILRC Chamu
125 – Osian	1. Tehsil Osian (Partly) – (I) ILRC Osian (II) ILRC Mathaniya (III) ILRC Tinwari (IV) ILRC Cherai
126 – Bhopalgarh (SC)	1. Tehsil Bhopalgarh 2. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jajawal Kalan
127 – Sardarpura	1. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly)- (I) Jodhpur Municipal Corporation (Partly) – Ward No. 32 to 34, 36, 38, 39 and 48 to 59
128 – Jodhpur	1. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly) - Jodhpur Municipal Corporation (Partly) –Ward No. 19 to 31, 35, 37 and 40 to 47 (II) ILRC Jodhpur (Partly) – (i) PC Jhalamand
129 – Soorsagar	1. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly) - Jodhpur Municipal Corporation (Partly) – Ward No. 1 to 18 and 60 (II) ILRC Jodhpur (Partly) – (i) PC Pal
130 – Luni	1. Tehsil Luni 2. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Keroo (II) ILRC Mandor (III) ILRC Jodhpur (Partly) – (i) PC Bagan (ii) PC Nandra Kalan
131 – Bilara (SC)	1. Tehsil Bilara 2. Tehsil Jodhpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bisalpur (II) ILRC Dangiyawas

<u>19-DISTRICT JAISALMER</u>	
132 – Jaisalmer	1. Tehsil Jaisalmer 2. Tehsil Fatehgarh
133 – Pokran	1. Tehsil Pokran
<u>20-DISTRICT BARMER</u>	
134 – Sheo	1. Tehsil Sheo 2. Tehsil Ramsar 3. Tehsil Chohtan (Partly) - (I) ILRC Bijrar excluding PC Dhok
135 – Barmer	1. Tehsil Barmer
136 – Baytoo	1. Tehsil Baytoo 2. Tehsil Pachpadra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Patodi
137 – Pachpadra	Tehsil Pachpadra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Pachpadra (II) ILRC Jasol including Municipal Board Balotra (III) ILRC Kalyanpur (IV) ILRC Tilwara (V) ILRC Thob
138 – Siwana	1. Tehsil Siwana 2. Tehsil Gudhamalani (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sindhari
139 – Gudha Malani	1. Tehsil Gudhamalani excluding ILRC Sindhari
140 – Chohtan (SC)	1. Tehsil Chohtan excluding ILRC Bijrar but including PC Dhok
<u>21-DISTRICT JALORE</u>	
141 – Ahore	1. Tehsil Ahore 2. Tehsil Jalore (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bagra
142 - Jalore (SC)	1. Tehsil Sayla 2. Tehsil Jalore (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jalore including Municipal Board Jalore (II) ILRC Bakra (III) ILRC Mandwala
143 – Bhinmal	1. Tehsil Bagra 2. Tehsil Bhinmal (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bharoori (II) ILRC Daspan (III) ILRC Modra (IV) ILRC Ramseen (V) ILRC Bhinmal (Partly) – (i) Municipal Board Bhinmal (ii) PC Bhagal Bhim (iii) PC Gajipura (iv) PC Sindhara (v) PC Nimbawas (vi) PC Nimbora (vii) PC Dantiwas (viii) PC Phagotra (ix) PC Punasa (x) PC Thobau
144 – Sanchore	1. Tehsil Sanchore (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sanchore including Municipal Board Sanchore (II) ILRC Chitalwana (III) ILRC Jhab (IV) ILRC Sarwana (V) ILRC Surachand (VI) ILRC Arnay
145 – Raniwara	1. Tehsil Raniwara 2. Tehsil Sanchore (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sarnau 3. Tehsil Bhinmal (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jaswantpura (II) ILRC Bhinmal (Partly) – (i) PC Pawli (ii) PC Sawidar
<u>22-DISTRICT SIROHI</u>	
146 – Sirohi	1. Tehsil Sirohi 2. Tehsil Sheoganj
147 – Pindwara Abu (ST)	1. Tehsil Pindwara 2. Tehsil Abu Road (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mount Abu including Municipal Board Mount Abu (II) ILRC Kiwarli
148 – Reodar (SC)	1. Tehsil Reodar 2. Tehsil Abu Road (Partly) – (I) ILRC Abu Road including Municipal Board Abu Road (II) ILRC Mungthala
<u>23-DISTRICT UDAIPUR</u>	
149 – Gogunda (ST)	1. Tehsil Gogunda 2. Tehsil Kotra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Malwa ka Chora (II) ILRC Was 3. Tehsil Girwa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Iswal (II) ILRC Badgaon (Partly) – (i) PC Badgaon (ii) PC Madar (iii) PC Thoor (iv) PC Lakhawali
150 – Jhadol (ST)	1. Tehsil Jhadol 2. Tehsil Kotra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kotra (II) ILRC Bikarni
151 – Kherwara (ST)	1. Tehsil Kherwara 2. Tehsil Sarada (Partly) – (I) ILRC Semari

152 – Udaipur Rural (ST)	1. Tehsil Girwa (Partly) - (I) Udaipur Municipal Council (Partly) – Ward No. 6 to 9, 24 to 27 and 39 (II) ILRC Barapal (III) ILRC Bhoiyon ki Pancholi (IV) ILRC Nai (V) ILRC City (Partly) – (i) PC Savina (ii) PC Shobhagpura (iii) PC Teetardi (iv) PC Goverdhan Vilas (v) PC Dheekli (vi) PC Madari Purohitan (vii) PC Bhuwana
153 – Udaipur	1. Tehsil Girwa (Partly) (I) Udaipur Municipal Council (Partly) – Ward No. 1 to 5, 10 to 23, 28 to 38 and 40 to 50
154 – Mavli	1. Tehsil Mavli 2. Tehsil Girwa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Badgaon (Partly) – (i) PC Bedla (ii) PC Chirwa (iii) PC Kailashpuri (iv) PC Sapetiya (II) ILRC Debari (Partly) – (i) PC Bhesda Kalan (ii) PC Bhalon ka Gudha (iii) PC Debari (iv) PC Lakadwas (v) PC Sakroda
155 – Vallabh Nagar	1. Tehsil Vallabh Nagar 2. Tehsil Girwa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bambora (II) ILRC Kurabad (III) ILRC Debari (Partly) – (i) PC Sheeshwi
156 – Salumber (ST)	1. Tehsil Salumber (Partly) – (I) ILRC Salumber including Municipal Board Salumber (II) ILRC Baroda (III) ILRC Kharka 2. Tehsil Sarada (Partly) – (I) ILRC Sarada (II) ILRC Jaisamand (III) ILRC Palodara (IV) ILRC Sallara
157 – Dhariawad (ST)	1. Tehsil Dhariawad 2. Tehsil Salumber (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jhallara (II) ILRC Bhabrana
24-DISTRICT DUNGARPUR	
158 – Dungarpur (ST)	1. Tehsil Dungarpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Dungarpur including Municipal Board Dungarpur (II) ILRC Bichhiwara (III) ILRC Dewal (IV) ILRC Thana (V) ILRC Genji (Partly) – (i) PC Mada (ii) PC Bhehana (iii) PC Galandar (iv) PC Gamri Ahara (v) PC Mewara (vi) PC Rampur Mewara
159 – Aspur (ST)	1. Tehsil Aspur 2. Tehsil Dungarpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Antri (II) ILRC Faloj
160 – Sagwara (ST)	1. Tehsil Sagwara
161 – Chorasi (ST)	1. Tehsil Simalwara 2. Tehsil Dungarpur (Partly) – (I) ILRC Genji (Partly) – (i) PC Renta (ii) PC Vikas Nagar (iii) PC Genji (iv) PC Mahuri
25-DISTRICT BANSWARA	
162 – Ghatol (ST)	1. Tehsil Ghatol
163 – Garhi (ST)	1. Tehsil Garhi 2. Tehsil Banswara (Partly) – (i) ILRC Talwara
164 – Banswara (ST)	1. Tehsil Banswara excluding ILRC Talwara
165 – Bagidora (ST)	1. Tehsil Bagidora
166 – Kushalgarh (ST)	1. Tehsil Kushalgarh
26-DISTRICT CHITTORGARH	
167 – Kapasan (SC)	1. Tehsil Kapasan 2. Tehsil Rashmi 3. Tehsil Bhadesar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bansen (II) ILRC Bhadsora
168 – Begun	1. Tehsil Begun 2. Tehsil Rawatbhata 3. Tehsil Gangrar excluding urban area of Municipal Board Chittorgarh
169 – Chittorgarh	1. Tehsil Chittorgarh 2. Tehsil Gangrar (Partly) - Area of Municipal Board Chittorgarh 3. Tehsil Bhadesar (Partly) – (I)

	ILRC Bhadesar
170 – Nimbahera	1. Tehsil Nimbahera 2. Tehsil Chhoti Sadri
171 – Bari Sadri	1. Tehsil Bari Sadri 2. Tehsil Dungla 3. Tehsil Bhadesar (Partly) – (I) ILRC Asawara 4. Tehsil Pratapgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Deogarh (II) ILRC Dhamotar
172 – Pratapgarh (ST)	1. Tehsil Arnod 2. Tehsil Pratapgarh (Partly) – (I) ILRC Pratapgarh including Municipal Board Pratapgarh (II) ILRC Panmori (III) ILRC Awaleshwar (IV) ILRC Suhagpura
<u>27-DISTRICT RAJSAMAND</u>	
173 - Bhim	1. Tehsil Bhim 2. Tehsil Deogarh
174 – Kumbhalgarh	1. Tehsil Kumbhalgarh 2. Tehsil Amet
175 – Rajsamand	1. Tehsil Rajsamand 2. Tehsil Railmagra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kuraj (II) ILRC Gilund
176 – Nathdwara	1. Tehsil Nathdwara 2. Tehsil Railmagra (Partly) – (I) ILRC Railmagra (II) ILRC Banedian
<u>28-DISTRICT BHILWARA</u>	
177 – Asind	1. Tehsil Asind 2. Tehsil Hurda
178 – Mandal	1. Tehsil Mandal 2. Tehsil Bhilwara (Partly) - (I) ILRC Danthal (II) ILRC Mangrop (III) ILRC Suwana
179 – Sahara	1. Tehsil Sahara 2. Tehsil Raipur 3. Tehsil Bhilwara (Partly) – (I) ILRC Karoi Kalan (II) ILRC Pur (III) ILRC Hameergarh
180 – Bhilwara	1. Tehsil Bhilwara (Partly) – (I) ILRC Bhilwara including Municipal Council Bhilwara
181 – Shahpura (SC)	1. Tehsil Shahpura 2. Tehsil Banera
182 – Jahazpur	1. Tehsil Jahazpur 2. Tehsil Kotri (Partly) – (I) ILRC Kotri (II) ILRC Sawaipur (III) ILRC Paroli
183 – Mandalgarh	1. Tehsil Mandalgarh 2. Tehsil Bijoliya 3. Tehsil Kotri (Partly) – (i) ILRC Nandrai (ii) ILRC Badliyas
<u>29-DISTRICT BUNDI</u>	
184 – Hindoli	1. Tehsil Hindoli 2. Tehsil Nainwa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Nainwa including Municipal Board Nainwa (II) ILRC Jajawar (III) ILRC Bansi (IV) ILRC Dei
185 - Keshoraipatan (SC)	1. Tehsil Keshoraipatan 2. Tehsil Indergarh 3. Tehsil Nainwa (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jetpur (II) ILRC Karwar (III) ILRC Bamangaon
186 – Bundi	1. Tehsil Bundi
<u>30-DISTRICT KOTA</u>	
187 – Pipalda	1. Tehsil Pipalda 2. Tehsil Digod (Partly) – (I) ILRC Barod (II) ILRC Sultanpur (III) ILRC Jhargaon
188 - Digod	1. Tehsil Sangod 2. Tehsil Digod (Partly) – (I) ILRC Digod (II) ILRC Rel (III) ILRC Seemalya
189 – Kota North	1. Tehsil Ladpura (Partly) - (I) Kota Municipal Corporation (Partly) – Ward No. 1, 2, 12 to 32 and 49 to 52
190 – Kota South	1. Tehsil Ladpura (Partly) (I) Kota Municipal Corporation (Partly) – Ward No. 3 to 6, 33 to 42, 53 to 58 and 60
191 – Ladpura	1. Tehsil Ladpura (Partly) – (I) Kota Municipal Corporation

	(Partly) – Ward No. 7 to 11, 43 to 48 and 59 (II) ILRC Kaithoon including Municipal Board Kaithoon (III) ILRC Tather (IV) ILRC Mandana (Partly) – (i) PC Kalyakheri (ii) PC Mandana (iii) PC Mandlaya (iv) PC Alniya (v) PC Ranpur (vi) PC Kasar (V) ILRC Ladpura (Partly) – (i) PC Kishanpura Takiya (ii) PC Rangpur (iii) PC Girdharpura
192 – Ramganj Mandi (SC)	1. Tehsil Ramganj Mandi 2. Tehsil Ladpura (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mandana (Partly) – (i) PC Borabans (ii) PC Dharampura (iii) PC Bhanwariya (iv) PC Dolya (v) PC Dobara
<u>31-DISTRICT BARAN</u>	
193 – Anta	1. Tehsil Anta 2. Tehsil Mangrol 3. Tehsil Baran (Partly) – (I) ILRC Koyala (II) ILRC Bamala
194 – Kishanganj (ST)	1. Tehsil Kishanganj 2. Tehsil Shahbad
195 – Baran (SC)	1. Tehsil Atru 2. Tehsil Baran (Partly) – (I) ILRC Baran including Municipal Board Baran (II) ILRC Fatehpur
196 - Chhabra	1. Tehsil Chhabra 2. Tehsil Chhipabarod
<u>32-DISTRICT JHALAWAR</u>	
197 – Dag (SC)	1. Tehsil Pachpahar 2. Tehsil Gangdhar
198 – Jhalrapatan	1. Tehsil Pirawa 2. Tehsil Jhalrapatan (Partly) – (I) ILRC Jhalrapatan including Municipal Board Jhalrapatan (II) ILRC Samrai (III) ILRC Jhalawar (Partly) - (i) Municipal Board Jhalawar (ii) PC Durgpura (iii) PC Gindor (iv) PC Kalmandi Kalan (v) PC Khanpuriya (vi) PC Salotiya
199 - Khanpur	1. Tehsil Khanpur 2. Tehsil Jhalrapatan (Partly) – (I) ILRC Mandawar (II) ILRC Ratlai (III) ILRC Salawad (IV) ILRC Bakani (V) ILRC Reechwa (VI) ILRC Jhalawar (Partly) – (i) PC Gagron (ii) PC Govindpura
200 – Manohar Thana	1. Tehsil Manohar Thana 2. Tehsil Aklera 3. Tehsil Jhalrapatan (Partly) – (I) ILRC Asnawar

Note:

1. Wards of Ajmer Municipal Council are as per 18th March, 2005. Department of Local Self Government has reorganized wards of Municipal Council, Ajmer vide notification dated 19th March, 2005.
2. Municipal Board, Abu Road has been reorganized by including village Manpur and village Akrabhata of Patwar Circle Manpur and village Tartoli (Partly) of Patwar Circle Morthala. These two patwar circles are part of ILRC Mount Abu. Whereas Municipal Board, Abu Road is part of ILRC Abu Road. This change can be incorporated by revising the extent of Reodar or Mount Abu. Alternatively it can be considered at the time publication and can be incorporated in final notification.
3. All other administrative units are as per date of notification.

TABLE – B

PARLIAMENTARY CONSTITUENCIES AND THEIR PROPOSED EXTENT

S. No. and Name of Parliamentary Constituency	EXTENT IN TERMS OF ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY
1-Ganganagar (SC)	1-Sadulsahar, 2-Ganganagar, 3-Karanpur, 4-Suratgarh, 5-Raisinghnagar (SC), 7-Sangaria, 8-Hanumangarh and 9-Pilibanga (SC)
2-Bikaner (SC)	6-Anupgarh (SC), 12-Khajuwala (SC), 13-Bikaner West, 14-Bikaner East, 15-Kolayat, 16-Lunkaransar, 17-Dungargarh and 18-Nokha
3-Churu	10-Nohar, 11-Bhadra, 19-Sadulpur, 20-Taranagar, 21-Sardarshahar, 22-Churu, 23-Ratangarh and 24-Sujangarh (SC)
4-Jhunjhunu	25-Pilani (SC), 26-Surajgarh, 27-Jhunjhunu, 28-Mandawa, 29-Nawalgarh, 30-Gudha, 31-Khetri and 32-Fatehpur
5-Sikar	33-Lachhmangarh, 34-Dhod (SC), 35-Sikar, 36-Dantaramgarh, 37-Khandela, 38-Neem Ka Thana, 39-Srimadhampur and 43-Chomu
6-Jaipur Rural	40-Kotputli, 41-Viratnagar, 42-Shahpura, 44-Phulera, 46-Jhotwara, 47-Amber, 48-Jamwaramgarh (ST) and 58-Chaksu (SC)
7-Jaipur	49-Hawa Mahal, 50-Vidhyadhar Nagar, 51-Civil Lines, 52-Kishan Pole, 53-Adarsh Nagar, 54-Malviya Nagar, 55-Sanganer and 56-Bagru (SC)
8-Alwar	59-Tizara, 60-Khairthal, 61-Mandawar, 62-Behror, 63-Bansur, 65-Alwar Rural (SC), 66-Alwar Urban, 67-Ramgarh
9-Bharatpur (SC)	69 - Kathumar (SC), 70-Kaman, 71-Nagar, 72- Deeg – Kumher, 73-Bharatpur, 74-Nadbai, 75-Weir (SC) and 76-Bayana (SC)
10-Dholpur-Karauli (SC)	77-Baseri (SC), 78-Bari, 79-Dholpur, 80-Rajakhera, 81-Todabhim (ST), 82-Hindaun (SC), 83-Karauli and 84-Sapotra (ST)
11-Dausa (ST)	57-Bassi (ST), 64-Thanagazi, 68-Rajgarh (ST), 85-Bandikui, 86-Mahuwa, 87-Sikrai (SC), 88-Dausa and 89-Lalsot (ST)
12-Sawai Madhopur-Tonk	90-Gangapur, 91-Bamanwas (ST), 92-Sawai Madhopur, 93-Khandar (SC), 94-Malpura, 95-Niwai (SC), 96-Tonk and 97-Deoli
13-Ajmer	45-Dudu (SC), 98-Kishangarh, 99-Pushkar, 100-Ajmer North, 101-Ajmer South (SC), 102-Nasirabad, 104-Masuda and 105-Kekri
14-Nagaur	106-Ladnun, 107-Deedwana, 108-Jayal (SC), 109-Nagaur, 112-Degana, 113-Makrana, 114-Parbatsar and 115-Nawan
15-Pali	110-Khinwsar, 111-Merta (SC), 116-Jaitaran, 117-Sojat (SC), 118-Pali, 125-Osian, 126-Bhopalgarh (SC) and 131-Bilara (SC)
16-Jodhpur	122-Phalodi, 123-Lohawat, 124-Shergarh, 127-Sardarpura,

	128-Jodhpur, 129-Soorsagar, 130-Luni and 133-Pokran
17-Barmer	132-Jaisalmer, 134-Sheo, 135-Barmer, 136-Baytoo, 137-Pachpadra, 138-Siwana, 139-Gudhamalani and 140-Chohtan (SC)
18-Jalore	141-Ahore, 142-Jalore (SC), 143-Bhinmal, 144-Sanchore, 145-Raniwara, 146-Sirohi, 147-Pindwara-Abu (ST) and 148-Reodar (SC)
19-Udaipur (ST)	149-Gogunda (ST), 150-Jhadol (ST), 151-Kherwara (ST), 152-Udaipur Rural (ST), 153-Udaipur, 156-Salumber (ST), 157-Dhariawad (ST) and 159-Aspur (ST)
20-Banswara (ST)	158-Dungarpur (ST), 160-Sagwara (ST), 161-Chorasi (ST), 162-Ghatol (ST), 163-Garhi (ST), 164-Banswara (ST), 165-Bagidora (ST) and 166-Kushalgarh (ST)
21-Chittorgarh	154-Mavli, 155-Vallabh Nagar, 167-Kapasan (SC), 168-Begun, 169-Chittorgarh, 170-Nimbahera, 171-Bari Sadri and 172-Pratapgarh (ST)
22-Bhilwara	103-Beawar, 119-Marwar Junction, 120-Bali, 121-Sumerpur, 173-Bhim, 174-Kumbhalgarh, 175-Rajsamand and 176-Nathdwara
23-Rajsamand	177-Asind, 178-Mandal, 179-Sahara, 180-Bhilwara, 181-Shahpura (SC), 182-Jahazpur, 183-Mandalgarh and 184-Hindoli
24-Kota	185-Keshoraipatan (SC), 186-Bundi, 187-Pipalda, 188-Digod, 189-Kota North, 190-Kota South, 191-Ladpura and 192-Ramganj Mandi (SC)
25-Jhalawar	193-Anta, 194-Kishanganj (ST), 195-Baran (SC), 196-Chhabra, 197-Dag (SC), 198-Jhalrapatan, 199-Khanpur and 200-Manohar Thana

DISSENTING PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM ASSOCIATES MEMBERS

(I)

Mahadev Singh
Member
Legislative Assembly, Rajasthan

3 Vidhyakpuri,
Jaipur
Ph: 0141-2368607
Vill. & P.O. Dulhaepura,
Hond (Khandela)
Distt. –Sikar (Rajasthan)
Ph: 01575-242305
011-23052002
22 July, 2005

Shri Kuldip Singh Ji,
Chairman,
Delimitation Commission,
Nirvachan Bhawan,
Govt. of India, New Delhi.

In connection with partial modification in election member no. 182 of Rajasthan Legislative Assembly 23-Bhilwara Parliamentary Constituency.

Sir,

The patwar circles Mansha, Gankali and Jawal of Revenue Inspector Circle Nandrai; situated in Bhilwada district and are in Jahajpur and Mandalgarh constituencies of Rajasthan Legislative Assembly are near and close to Jahajpur. These are included in Mandalgarh assembly constituency in the proposed delimitation draft, which should remain in Jahajpur itself because of the geographical proximity contrary of this, if these are included in Mandalgarh assembly constituency; it will not be suitable for public, public representatives and by looking at the map. Mansha and Haguli were already in Jahajpur assembly constituency from the point of view, distance, means of communication and administrative facility and Jawal Assembly constituency was in

Shahpura. Now that Shahpura Bande, Tehsil has become an assembly constituency together then it will be appropriate to include Jawal in Jahajpur.

Sawaipur, Banka Khera and Badla Patwar Circle were already in Badliyas Revenue Inspector Circle because of the geographical location of Kothari River and administrative facility. These are rather very close to manbalgarh, in sfeed of Jahajpur because of Kothari River, Communication facility with villages and administrative facility. By looking at the map, it proves its authenticity that these three Patwar Circle should be included in Mandalpur instead of Jahajpur Assembly constituency, which will be in the interest of the people and of the state. Because of some discrepancy in the Revenue Inspector circle District collector and Revenue division sent amendment proposal to the state government, but due to the restriction of the Delimitation Commission, decisions were not taken. According to the names, based upon the growth of population in the eastern regions by including adjoining areas, and not distancing earlier included aeas according to the names it will not be appropriate. So in the present proposal, my above ancluded proposal are objection considered as my and dissent note Manse Patwar circle, gahuli and Jawal Nandrai Revenue Inspector circle should be reparated from Jahajpur Assembly Constituency and be included became of geographical compactness and I present my opinion and recommendation that due to the closeness and transport inconvenience of Kottari river, the Patwar circles of Revenue Inspector Circle of Sawaipur, Banka Kheda Badla should be reparated rom Jahajpur Assembly Constituency and included to Mandalgarh area.

(I have my consent in publishing this objection)

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-

Mahadev Singh

Member Assembly Constituency

3 Vidhyakpuri,

Jaipur

Mahadev Singh
Member
Legislative Assembly, Rajasthan

Ph: 0141-2368607
Vill. & P.O. Dulhaepura,
Hond (Khandela)
Distt. –Sikar (Rajasthan)
Ph: 01575-242305

Respected Chief Election Commissioner,
Govt. of India
New Delhi.

Subject:- Regarding redelimitation of Sarlarpura Legislative assembly situated in Jodhpur district of the State of Rajasthan.

Sir,

On the subject stated above it is requested that three legislative assembly constituencies come under Jodhpur City in Jodhpur district of the State of Rajasthan. Naming 'Jodhopu City,' 'Sursagar' and 'Sardarpura'. In the Draft working Paper sent recently by the Delimitation Commission. It is seen that Jodhpur ward No. 60 has been deloted from Saldarpura Assembly area. Neither Congress nor BJP proposed to delete the ward and nor it is geographically practical to delete this area from Sardarpura.

As per the population viewpoint if ward No. 60 is included in Sursagar then the population of Sursagar becomes 313172 and the population of Sardarpura remaining only 268649 that seems quite impractical. Therefore ward No. 60 should be in Sardarpura legislative assembly area by deleting from Sursagar legislative area so that as per the Original proposal of the Commission the population of Sardarpur becomes 285356 and of Sursagar becomes 296465 that seems legitimate and logical.

This objection of have should be published along with the Draft working paper.

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)

(II)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

**Note of Dissent for Lok Sabha Constituencies of
6-Jaipur Rural, 8-Alwar and 11-Dausa
Under Section 9 (2) (a) of Delimitation Act 2002**

Sir,

Associate Members of INC want to divert your kind attention towards the blunder done by Commission in draft working paper released on 8th July, 2005 regarding three parliamentary constituencies named as 6-Jaipur Rural, 8-Alwar and 11-Dausa. The proposed Delimitation of above Lok Sabha Constituencies is not according the provision section 9 (1) (a) of Delimitation Act and your Guideline. The change of Assembly segments between above three Lok Sabha Constituencies is neither logical nor fair because of the following reasons :-

Lok Sabha Constituency 6-Jaipur Rural

1. Delimitation Commission has deleted AC-64-Thanagaji and AC-63-Bansur from Lok Sabha constituency 6-Jaipur Rural and included AC-48-Jamwaramgarh (SC) and 58-Chaksu (SC).
AC-58-Chaksu has no connectivity with any assembly segments of 6-Jaipur Rural. This will be an island for other seven segments of Lok Sabha constituency 6-Jaipur Rural.
2. AC-64-Thanagaji and AC-63-Bansur can not be separated because they are constituted and formed with common Tehsils and RICs of Alwar District.

Lok Sabha Constituency 8-Alwar

1. Delimitation Commission has changed the compositions of Lok Sabha Constituency 8-Alwar by deleting AC-59-Rajgarh (ST) and included AC-63-Bansur. It is against the provisions and guideline of Delimitation Act.
2. Rajgarh was been the Capital of the erstwhile Alwar State. The first King of the Alwar Dynasty Maharao Pratap Singh founded Rajgarh in 1764 AD and built palaces and Dams in this area. This is also native place of Famous Freedom Fighter Pt. Bhawani Sahay Sharma, who was the right hand of Chandra Shekhar Azad. Since 1950. AC-Rajgarh was and is part of Alwar Lok Sabha Constituency. It is adjacent to Alwar District Headquarter and socially, geographically, historically a part of Alwar. Therefore we request you to keep AC-Rajgarh in Lok Sabha Constituency Alwar
3. Thanagaji and Bansur Assembly segments are geographically adjacent and well integrated so they should be kept together.

Lok Sabha Constituency 11-Dausa

1. Delimitation Commission has changed the compositions of Lok Sabha Constituency 11-Dausa by delimiting AC-Chaksu (SC) & AC-Jamwaramgarh (ST). Commission has included AC-Thanagaji & AC-Rajgarh (ST) in this parliament constituencies. It is against the provisions and guideline of Delimitation Act, because geographically and administratively AC-Thanagaji & AC-Rajgarh do not give compactness. The % of ST in previously proposed Lok Sabha constituency Dausa was 26.27%. If Commission wants to increase the % of ST in this Lok Sabha Constituency than AC-Jamwaramgarh can also be included but AC-Chaksu which is not having any connectivity with Lok Sabha Constituency 6-Jaipur Rural can only be included in LS 11-Dausa.

In effect therefore Chaksu should be a part of Parliamentary Constituency Dausa and Rajgarh to remain PC Alwar.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)	(Shish Ram Ola)	(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member	Associate Member	Associate Member

(III)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Bikaner District Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Some inadvertent omissions occurred with regard to few wards in **13-Bikaner West** and **14-Bikaner East** assembly constituencies of Bikaner District have been

corrected by Draft Working paper of the commission wide letter no. 282/RJ/2005, dated 8th July, 2005. But still the proposed delimitation of AC Bikaner West, AC Bikaner East, AC Nokha & AC Kolayat has not been done according to the provisions of section 9 (1) (a). We the Associates Members of INC & BJP got Consensus on Amendment proposals of AC 13-Bikaner West & 14-Bikaner East and submitted them in the last meeting of Commission held on 10th June, 2005 at New Delhi as under :-

1. The Wards of old Bikaner City are complicatedly constituted. So many houses of Old City are having common wall put they have been split in different Wards. To remove this error Ward No. 3, 4, 5, 6, 18, 19 & 20 may kindly be included in AC 21-Bikaner East and Ward No. 13, 28, 43, 51, 52, 54 & 55 may kindly be deleted. In same manner Ward No. 13, 28, 43, 51, 52, 54 & 55 may kindly included in AC 20-Bikaner West & Ward No. 3, 4, 5, 6, 18, 19, & 20 may kindly be deleted
2. The division of above ACs proposed by our amendment proposal is also based on Railway line situated between them.

The Commission has partly accepted our suggestion by shifting Ward No. 54, 55 in AC-Bikaner West and Ward No. 5, 6 & 20 in AC-Bikaner East. The delimitation commission has Suomotto added Ward No. 35 in AC-Bikaner West and Ward No. 22 in AC-Bikaner East. It was not demanded by INC and BJP.

Therefore we request you kindly include Ward No. 13, 28, 43, 51 & 52 in AC-Bikaner West and delete them from AC-Bikaner East. In same manner kindly also include Ward No. 3, 4, 18 & 19 in AC Bikaner East and delete them from AC-Bikaner West.

Kindly also delete RIC Panchu from AC-Nokha & it should be included in AC-Kolayat, to remove the error of deviation of % in above Acs.

You are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(IV)

Hon'ble Justice Kuldeep Singh Ji,
The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi

**Subject :- Note of Dissent Under Section 9 (2) (a) of Delimitation Act.
Regarding Assembly Constituencies of District Churu, Rajasthan.**

Sir,

INC Associate Members submitted their amendment proposals in the meeting held on 10th June, 2005 as under :-

Tehsil Sardarshahar is having 304373 population. It should not be split. Delimitation of this District should be started from AC-Sardarshahar, which is the north of District Churu. After completion of this Assembly Constituency AC-Taranagar which is situated in North-East should be constituted & then AC-Sadulpur, AC-Churu, AC-Ratangarh & AC-Sujangarh should be formed. AC-Sujangarh is situated in the South of District Churu. The description of proposed amendments was as under in detail :-

AC-Sardarsahar : Tehsil Sardarsahar : Total Population – 304373

AC- Taranagar : Tehsil Taranagar, Tehsil Rajgarh (Partly), RIC Dadarewa, RIC Dokwa, Tehsil Churu (Partly), RIC Khiwasar Excluding PC Ghantel. Total Population – 268970

AC- Sadulpur : Tehsil Rajgarh (Partly), RIC Rajgarh including Municipal Board, RIC Hameerwas Dara, RIC Ragha Chhoti, RIC Sankhoo, RIC Chainpura Chhota, RIC Sidhmukh. Total Population - 262118

AC – Churu : Tehsil Churu (Partly), RIC Churu including Churu Municipal Board and Ratannagar Municipal Board, RIC Dudwa Khara, RIC Naksasar, PC Ghantel of RIC Khiwasar, Tehsil Ratangarh (Partly) – RIC Ratangarh excluding PC Ratangarh and Municipal Board Ratangarh. Total Population – 267920

AC- Ratangarh : Tehsil Ratangarh (Partly), RIC Golsar, RIC Menasar, RIC Rajaldesar, PC Ratangarh with Ratangarh Municipal Board, Tehsil Sujangarh (Partly), RIC Chhapar, RIC Khuri. Total Population – 294897.

AC – Sujangarh (SC) : Tehsil Sujangarh (Partly) excluding RIC Chhapar and RIC Khuri & Chhapar Municipal Board. Total Population – 297761

In the meeting of commission held on 10th June, 2005 it was agreed by Hon'ble Commission that Tehsil Sardarshahar will be kept intact and the process of delimitation in Churu District will be started from Tehsil Sardarshahar which is the top North of this District according to revenue record. But the above agreed facts are not followed in the draft working proposal released on 8th July, 2005. The draft working proposal has also ignored the Law & Rules regarding deviation of % in Churu by keeping +10.31 % of deviation in AC-21-Sardarshahar. A revised working paper released by the Commission in the month of May have been also ignored without any reason.

Therefore we request you kindly accept our above amendment proposal and keep intact Tehsil Sardarshahar which is the top north of Churu District. It also provides better geographical compactness, road connectivity and administrative units in comparison to your draft proposal.

This may be recorded as a note of dissent for publication from our side.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(V)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Jaipur District
Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of INC has submitted following amendments before Commission on 10th June, 2005 regarding three Rural Assembly Constituencies of District Jaipur and five Urban Constituencies of Jaipur City.

Small amendment proposal in AC-Phulera, AC-Dudu & AC-Jhotwara

1. Due to Sambhar Lake there is no road connectivity of PC Korsinha, PC Habaspura, PC Dohari & PC Chapari of RIC Narayana & PC Shama ki Dani of

RIC sambhar with AC-44 Phulera. Almost 8 months in the year these PCs are full of mud. To reach in Villages of above PCs you have to come from AC-45 Dudu. The above PCs are very well connected with AC-45 Dudu. Therefore it is requested to include about PCs in AC-45 Dudu. It will also remove the error of % of deviation in AC-45-Dudu.

2. PC Bhojpurkalan, PC Murlipura of RIC Jobner & PC Shama ki Dani of RIC Karansar can be included in AC-44 Phulera & deleted from AC-46 Jhotwara. It will also equalize the % of deviation.
3. The present Phulera AC is physically like a DUMBELL. The above amendment proposal will also correct the physical features of AC-Phulera and it will also give geographical compactness. It will also provide connectivity and means of communication.

Single Ward exchange in five ACs of Jaipur City

1. Hon'ble Commission has proposed seven assembly segments in Jiapur Nagar Nigam area. But these seven constituencies are not constituted according to the guideline & rules of the delimitation commission. The main road of the city are the base of dividing wards of Nagar Nigam, but the proposed constituencies of Jaipur City are not formed accordingly. Few constituencies are unnecessarily constituted very lengthy and they are not geographically compact. Specially AC-Civil Lines is constituted very defectively and lengthy. It starts from Top South of jaipur City and it ends at Top North of City, which is the Nargarh Hill. We are suggesting a small amendment proposal of five assembly constituencies named as AC-Civil Line, AC-Malviya Nagar, AC-Adarsh Nagar, AC-Kishanpole & AC-Hawamahal in which inter exchange of a single ward has been suggested. It will give physical compactness, better physical features, administrative unity & easy road connectivity. The exchange of Ward are as under :-

AC Civil Line : Include Ward 16, Delite Ward 66

AC Hawamahal : Include Ward 66, Deliete Ward 64

AC Kishanpole : Include Ward 64, Delete Ward 50

AC Adarshnagar : Include Ward 50, Delete Ward 34

AC Malviya Nagar : Include Ward 34, Delete Ward 16

We hope that Commission will incorporate our amendment proposals given above, otherwise you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(VI)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Bharatpur District Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of INC had submitted following amendment proposal before Commission on 10th June, 2005 regarding four Rural Assembly Constituencies of District Bharatpur.

1. District Bharatpur is one of the example which is having three different cultural areas within the region. Kama & Nagar Tehsils are having Mave Culture & Brij Culture. Whereas Tehsil Weir & Tehsil bayana are also having two different

cultures. We are suggesting exchange of single RICs in AC-75-Weir V/s AC-76-Bayana & AC-70-Kaman V/s AC-71-Nagar. This amendment proposal is also submitted looking to the geographical & physical compactness, connectivity, administrative units and means of communication.

2. RIC Farso should be deleted from 75-Weir & included in 76-Bayana. RIC Samodar should be deleted from 76-Bayana and it should be included in 75-Weir. This small exchange of RIC will give physical & geographical compactness to both Assembly constituencies.
3. RIC Raf is part of Panchayat Samiti Nagar. This RIC is adjoining with AC-Nagar. Whereas RIC Pasta is situated very far from AC-Nagar and to reach RIC Pasta you have to cross another Assembly constituency. It means it don't have direct connectivity. Hence we propose that RIC Ranf & RIC Pasda should interchange between AC-Nagar & AC-Kaman.

The Associate Members of BJP also supported our above amendment proposals in that meeting and they also agreed by submitting their written proposals. Your good self also fully convinced with above amendment proposal and you have given assurance to all the associate members that this will be considered. Even than commission has not incorporated above amendments in draft proposal released on 8th July, 2005. The proposals of draft working paper released on 8th July, 2005 regarding AC-Kaman, AC-Nagar, AC-Weir and AC-Bayana are not according to the guideline and section 9 (1) (a) of delimitation act. Therefore the above amendment proposals submitted by associate members of INC and supported by BJP members should be accepted by the commission.

We hope that Commission will incorporate our above amendment proposals in the interest of Law and Citizens of Bharatpur District. Otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(VII)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Dholpur District Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of INC had submitted following amendment proposal before Commission on 10th June, 2005 regarding two Rural Assembly Constituencies of District Dholpur as under.

1. The present % of deviation of population in Bari & Baseri is +7.34% and –6.57% respectively. In order to maintain the deference of % of deviation in AC-77-Baseri (SC) and AC-78-Bari the PC Ibrahimpur, PC Bhimari, PC Sikarra & PC Kuhawani of RIC Bari should be included in newly constituted AC-Baseri and deleted from AC_Bari. All of above PCs are adjoining and adjacent with Tehsil Baseri and is geographically compact. They are part of same panchayat samiti and therefore, will be administratively suited to the people of the area.

2. AC-77Baseri (SC) can be connected with National Highway easily by including four Patwar Circles of one RIC from AC-78-Bari. This small amendment will also provide road connectivity to both Acs- (AC-Bari & AC-Baseri)

The Associate Members of BJP also supported our above amendment proposal. Even than commission has not incorporated above amendments in draft proposal released on 8th July, 2005. The proposals of draft working paper released on 8th July, 2005 regarding AC-Bari and AC-Baseri are not according to the guideline and section 9 (1) (a) of delimitation act. Therefore the above amendment proposals submitted by associate members of INC and supported by BJP members should be accepted by the commission.

We hope that Commission will incorporate our above amendment proposals in the interest of Law and Citizens of Dholpur District. Otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(VIII)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Tonk District
Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

We have received your draft proposal dated 8th July, 2005. We have found that Commission has deleted RIC Nasirda of Tehsil Devali from AC-Devali on the ground that many villages of RIC Nasirda are covered under Bisalpur Water Project. We strongly protest that Commission has arbitrarily shifted RIC Mor of Tehsil Todaraisingh in Tonk. Associate Members of INC and BJP never suggested for this change. Geographically and Administratively RIC Mor should remain with AC-94-Malpura, as it was previously proposed by Commission in their Working Paper. The local citizens of Malpura have raised their protest regarding inclusion of RIC Nasirda in AC-Malpura. According to them the road connectivity from AC-Malpura with RIC nasirda is only possible through entering AC-Kekri which is the segment of neighbour district Ajmer.

2. We also request you kindly change of the name 97-Devali as UNIARA-DEVALI.

Note of dissent for Loksabha Constituency – **Sawaimadhapur-Tonk**

In the draft working paper as against our suggestion commission has named PC-Sawaimadhapur as PC Sawaimadhapur-Tonk. Whereas the associate members of INC and BJP jointly suggested to change the name of this Parliamentary Constituency as Tonk-Sawaimadhapur.

Tonk was separate princely state before freedom. Whereas Sawaimadhapur district was a part of erstwhile Jaipur state. District Tonk is much larger in size and population in comparison to district Sawaimadhapur. Therefore, we once again suggest

that the name of this Parliament Constituency should be corrected as Tonk-Sawaimadhopur.

We hope that Commission will incorporate our amendment proposals given above otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(IX)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Pali District
Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of INC has submitted enclosed amendments before commission on 10th June, 2005 regarding the Two Assembly Constituencies of District Pali named as AC-116-Jaitaran and 117-Sojat (SC). Our proposal was based on the

Guideline and the provisions of Section 9 (1) (a) of Delimitation Act. We wanted to keep reserve AC-Sojat for SC. Our proposal was as under :-

1. The revised proposal of Commission is partly accepted. AC-Jaitaran and AC-Sojat are not constituted according to the guideline.
2. This District is also situated in the chain of Arawali Hills. AC Jaitaran and AC Sojat are having different physical features due to large number of Arawali mountains situated between them.
3. The maximum area of existing AC-Raipur which is dissolved in proposed working paper of the commission should be retained in AC-Jaitaran.
4. Looking to the geographically compactness, Physical features and means of communications (Road connectivity), we request you kindly exchange two RICs between AC jaitaran and AC Sojat. **Description is as under :-**
RIC Kotkirana of Tehsil Raipur should be included in AC-Sojat.
RIC Raipur partly-PC Birantiya Kalan, PC Hajiwas, PC Jhoontha, PC Leelamba, PC Raipur-I & PC Raipur-II should be included in AC-108-Jaitaran.
5. The character of AC-Sojat (SC) and AC-Jaitaran will remain same.

BJP Members also submitted their amendment proposal in above meeting regarding AC-Jaitaran and AC-Sojat, but they wanted to de reserve AC-Sojat. Principally it was agreed by both the parties that none of the constituency will be changed from reservation or de reservation.

Sir, We once again request you that looking to the geographically compactness, physical features and means of communication (road connectivity) kindly exchange two RICs as above stated between AC Jaitaran and AC Sojat (SC). We hope that Commission will incorporate our amendment proposals given above otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola) (Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member Associate Member

Sd/-

(X)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Jodhpur District Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of INC had submitted following amendment proposal before Commission on 10th June, 2005 regarding four Rural Assembly Constituencies of District Jodhpur.

1. The delimitation of Assembly Constituencies in District Jodhpur has not been drawn according to the guideline of delimitation commission and provisions of section 9 (1) (a). The proposed constituencies are not geographically and

physically compact. All the sub-divisions of Jodhpur District has been split maximum tehsils and panchayat samitis are unnecessarily broken. Therefore the delimitation of this district should be reviewed and reformed.

2. We are proposing amendment in AC-Osian and AC-Lohawat as under :- **125-Osian** Tehsil Osian (partly) RIC Mathania, RIC Osian, RIC cherai (Partly), RIC Tinwari (Partly), RIC Aau (Partly) & RIC Bapini (Partly). **123-Lohawat :-** RIC Lohawat, RIC Bapini (Partly), RIC Cherai (Partly), RIC Chamu (Partly), RIC Balesar (Partly), & RIC Tinwari (Partly)
3. In this proposal the existing boundaries of Assembly segments of this District can be retained maximum.
4. Tehsil and RICs of Jodhpur District are formed defectively. Tehsils and Panchayat Samatees are coterminous. They are lengthy and inserted in each other. Hence to form compact Assembly segment it is necessary to split RICs and Tehsils.
5. Administratively & from the point of view of compactness and communication this amendment proposal is more perfect and suitable. This is in the public interest.

Amendment Proposal for AC-130-Luni

1. In the meeting of Commission dated 10th June, 2005 Associate Members of INC and BJP consensually submitted their amendment proposal but Commission has turned down them without any reason. In draft proposal the formation is not according to the guideline and section 9 (1) (a) of the Act. AC Luni is having – 10.27% deviation which is against the rules. Therefore we once again request you kindly accept a small amendment in AC-Luni. PC Kakelaw & PC Jaleli Fauzdara of RIC Dangiawas (Tehsil Jodhpur) & PC Roodkali of RIC Bisalpur (Tehsil Jodhpur) should be included in AC_Luni and deleted from AC-Bilara. If commission do not agree to split two RICs than we suggest you to included RIC Dangiawas in AC-Luni which the most adjacent RIC and geographically compacted with proposed AC-Luni. The character of AC-Bilara and AC-Luni will remain same.

Amendment Proposal for AC-124-Shergarh

1. Looking to the geographical compactness, administrative and revenue units, means of communications, different cultural and population associate members of INC and BJP jointly suggested amendment proposal of AC-Shergarh in the meeting of commission dated 10th June, 2005 but commission has ignored it. Now the proposed AC-Shergarh and AC-Lohawat are defectively formed and they are not geographically compact. If commission do not want to split RICs than we suggest a feasible amendment as under which is according to the guideline and rules of delimitation act.
2. RIC Shetrawa should be deleted from AC-Lohawat & RIC Chamu should be included in AC-Lohawat. RIC Shetrawa should be included in AC-Shergarh & RIC Chamu should be deleted. The deviation of % will not exceed average population of District.

Amendment Proposal for AC. Jodhpur, Sursagar and Sardarpura

Delimitation of above three Urban Assembly Constituencies was perfectly framed in draft working paper previously released in the month of March, 2005 but now it has been changed unnecessarily. Ward No. 60 of Nagar Nigam Jodhpur should remain with AC Sardarpura as commission as previously proposed in working paper. The population of Jodhpur City is sufficient to form three Urban Assembly segments. There is no need to include the Rural population in these Acs.

We hope that Commission will incorporate our amendment proposals given above otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(XI)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for two Assembly Constituencies of Baran District regarding AC

193-Anta and AC 195-Barna-SC

Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

The proposed delimitation of this district BARAN is against the guideline and the provision of section 9 (1) (a) of delimitation act, 2002. It seems to have been prepared to favor the ruling party of the state. There are SECTON OFFICER many compositions in various Acs which either have no connectivity or they are inter injected in each other. The assembly constituencies of District Baran as proposed in the draft proposal are geography defective administratively no-convenient. They are neither

logical nor fair. Commission have Delimited AC 195-Baran with intention to keep this segment reserved for SC resulting AC Anta as de-reserved.

To remove these anomalies and errors, we are proposing the amendment proposal regarding AC 193-Anta and AC 195-Baran, which comprise all Tehsils & RICs inducted. It is having better connectivity and better geographical and administrative compactness. Similarly, our proposed amendments relate to the Assembly segments of District Baran looking at the geographical, physical, social, cultural and administrative situations. In our proposal we have kept intact the SDO area, Panchayat Samiti area. Less number of Tehsils & RICs have been split in our proposals. It is better-compact proposal. The proposed amendments are as under :-

No & Name of Assembly Constituency	Extent of Constituency Tehsil/RIC/ Town	2001 Population			% of SCs	% of STs	% of Deviation
		Town	SCs	STs			
193-Anta or Atru (SC)	Tehsil Atru	132944	27293	24457			
	Tehsil Anta	103835	21801	9354			
	Tehsil Baran (partly)	-	-	-			
	RIC Bamala	20035	5359	4580			
	Total	256814	54453	38391	21.20	14.94	0.54
195-Baran	Tehsil Mangrol	93550	16215	19908			
	Tehsil Baran (Partly)						
	RIC Baran including Baran Municipal	109557	23608	8651			

	Board						
	RIC Fatehpur	28044	7881	3959			
	RIC Koyala	24171	4327	4690			
	Total	255322	52031	37208	20.37	14.57	-0.04

We would request your honor to accept above amendments, in case, you do not accept them then please publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-
(Mahadev Singh)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shish Ram Ola)
Associate Member

Sd/-
(Shiv Charan Mathur)
Associate Member

(XII)

The Chairman,
Delimitation Commission of India,
New Delhi.

Note of Dissent for Assembly Constituencies of Bharatpur District Under Section 9(2)(a) of Delimitation Act 2002

Sir

Associates Members of BJP & INC has submitted following amendment proposal before Commission on 16th June, 2005 regarding four Rural Assembly Constituencies of District Bharatpur.

1. District Bharatpur is one of the example who is having three different cultural areas within the region. Kama & Nagar Tehsils are having Mave Culture & Brij Culture. Whereas Tehsil Weir & Tehsil Bayana are also having two different cultures. We are suggesting exchange of single RICs in AC-75-weir V/s AC-76-Bayana & AC-70-Kaman V/s AC-71-Nagar. This amendment proposal is also submitted looking to the geographical & physical compactness. Connectivity, administrative units and means of communication.
2. RIC farso should be deleted from 75-Weir & included in 76-Bayana. RIC Samodar should be deleted from 76-Bayana and it should be included in 75-Weir. This small exchange of RIC will give physical & geographical compactness to both Assembly constituencies.
3. RIC Raf is part of Panchayat Samiti Nagar. This RIC is adjoining with AC-Nagar. Whereas RIC Pasta is situated very far from AC-Nagar and to reach RIC Pasta you have to cross another Assembly constituency. It means it don't have direct connectivity. Hence we proposed that RIC Ranf & RIC Pasda should be inter exchange between AC-Nagar & AC-Kaman.

The Associate Members of INC also supported our above amendment proposals in that meeting and they also agreed by submitting their written proposals. Your good self also fully convened with above amendment proposal and you have given assurance to all the associate members that this will be considered. Even than commission has not incorporated above amendments in draft proposal released on 8th July, 2005. We are unable to understand why this amendment proposal commonly agreed by both the parties has been turned down. The proposals of draft working paper released on 8th July, 2005 regarding AC-Kaman, AC-Nagar, AC-Weir and AC-Bayana are not according to the guideline and section 9 (1) (a) of delimitation act. Therefore the above amendment proposals submitted by associate members of INC and supported by BJP members should be accepted by the commission.

We hope that Commission will incorporate our above amendment proposals in the interest of Law and Citizens of Bharatpur District. Otherwise, you are requested to kindly publish our note of dissent along with the draft proposals of Commission.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-

(Mana Lal Bansiwal)

Associate Member

Sd/-

(Ram Singh Kaswan)

Associate Member

Sd/-

(Ghanshyam Tiwari)

Associate Member

20th July, 2005

(XIII)

Kailash Meghwal

Member of Parliament

(Lok Sabha)

44, Ashoka Road, N.D.

Ph. 23327787

Mb. 9869180209

Secretary,

Delimitation Commission, Rajasthan

New Delhi-110001.

Kind attention of Shri Shangara Ram

I would like to draw your kind attention regarding of Delimitation Act 2002 of 33 that Delimitation Act 2002 (Part-2) will do delimitation according to the Act of Parliament, Census of 2004, and by the section 5 of the Act No. 3 of 2004; from 31-10-2003 according to the figures of Census as ascertained in the Census and keeping in

view the provisions of the Constitution and Act specified in Section-8 and the following provisions, Viz.-

(a) All the constituencies will be geographically compact areas and from next page their natural textures the existing borders of administrative units, communication facilities and public facilities will have to be kept in mind while doing their delimitation.

(b) The delimitation of every assembly constituency will be done in such a manner that they will come completely within one Parliamentary Constituency.

On the basis of above norms the proposals regarding Tonk segment of Parliamentary Constituency, which were earlier proposed by you in which the R.I. circle more of Todaraysingh was included in the Malpura Assembly Constituency which was according to the norms of Delimitation Commission and no change was necessary. But the proposal has been changed for which I am sacking its dismissal. The changed area of the present Malpura assembly constituency in which R.I. Circle Nasrda has been added with Malpura, in place of R.I. Circle more, should be cancelled. Because –

(1) This area of Nasirda is not connected to Malpura. This area is about 80 km. Far away from Malpura, whereas more, the area in the east is only 18 km. Away from Malpura.

(2) The area of R.I. circle Nasirda comes under Devali Tahsil and its Police Station, Court and Panchayat Committee also comes under it. The people are also directly connected to Devali. Therefore connecting this area to Malpura would be against the natural quality standards of Delimitation Commission, borders prevailing the administrative units, communication facilities, public facilities and variations the geographical difference. So, these changed boundaries should be cancelled and the proposed in its original form made by the Delimitation Commission in the primary level,

in which more of the Toda Rai Singh was attached to Malpura should be kept precisely as it was.

Hence in the implementation of the public interest it is requested to the Delimitation Commission to make amendment to include the same R.I. circle in Malpura Assembly Constituency and oblige

Yours Sincerely,
Sd/-
(Kailash Meghwal)
Member
Delimitation Commission
Rajasthan.

(XIV)

Kailash Meghwal
Member of Parliament
(Lok Sabha)

44, Ashoka Road, N.D.
Ph. 23327787
Mb. 9869180209

Secretary,
Delimitation Commission, Rajasthan
New Delhi-110001.

Subject:- To change the name of "Parliamentary Constituency Sawai Madhopur" as "Parliamentary Constituency Tonk" and its Headquarter to be Tonk-regarding.

Sir,

You are requested to pass the order to change the name of "Parliamentary Constituency Sawai madhopur" Seat in Rajasthan, created by the Commission at present; as "Parliamentary Constituency Tonk". Because :-

1. As far as geographical area is concerned, Tonk district is larger than Sawai Madhopur district. The area of Tonk district is 7194 sq.km. whereas the area of Sawai Madhopur district is only 5513 sq.km.

2. According to the census of 2001, the population of Tonk district is also more than that of Mathopur district. According to the published figures of the population of 2001, the population of Tonk district is 12, 11, 671 whereas the population of Sawai Madhopur district is only 11, 17, 057.

3. The extension of voters in Tonk district is in 1089 Villages. Whereas there are only 794 Villages in Sawai Madhopur, which is very much less in number than that of Tonk district.

4. The distance of Tonk, from Jaipur city, the capital of Rajasthan is 100 km, whereas Sawai Madhopur is situated 175 km. Far away from the capital i.e. Jaipur.

5. Tonk City is situated in National Highway no. 12, whereas Sawai Madhopur City is not connected with any National Highway.

6. There is "Municipal Council" in Tonk City, whereas, there is only "Municipality" in Sawai Madhopur from administrative point of view.

7. Tonk City has been a historical City and its area is progressive in the production of chilli, mustard and carpet and is having industries of bidi and leather far export also. Whereas Sawai Madhopur district is comparatively not well to do from industrial point of view.

8. Parliamentary Constituency, Tonk has been reserved for Schedule tribes till now, but, now it is being changed in general category. From this point of view, the population of the people of general category in Tonk district is 8, 32, 696, whereas in Sawai Madhopur district it is 6, 52, 755 which is less than that of Tonk district.

9. According to the present proposals if the Parliamentary Constituency is named as "Sawai Madhopur Parliamentary Constituency" and its headquarter is fixed at Sawai Madhopur, then this city will have the distance of 205 km. From assembly constituency Malpura, 145 km. From assembly constituency Dewali and 110 km. From Niwai city of assembly constituency Niwai; of Tonk district.

10. The constituencies of Madhopur district were earlier included in the very "Parliamentary Constituency Tonk" in the first general election held in 1952 and the general election held after that i.e. in 1957 in the counting.

Therefore keeping in view the above facts, your are requested to give your acceptance to change the name of "Parliamentary Constituency Sawai Mdhopur" as "Parliamentary Constituency Tonk".

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/-

(Kailash Meghwal)

Associate member,

Delimitation Commission, Rajasthan.

Further, please get it published.